#### **GREENBERG QUINLAN ROSNER** RESEARCH

### Senate battleground survey: Working women and men's economic agenda tilts the races

July 22, 2014





### Methodology

This presentation is based on a unique survey of 1,000 likely 2014 voters in the most competitive Senate races across the country, conducted by Greenberg Quinlan Rosner Research for Democracy Corps and Women's Voices Women Vote Action Fund

This survey was conducted from July 12-16, 2014 using a list of 2006 voters, 2010 voters, and new registrants. Unless otherwise noted, the margin of error for the full sample is = +/-3.10% at 95% confidence.

50 percent of respondents were reached by cell phone, in order to account for everchanging demographics and trying to accurately sample the full American electorate.

WVWVAF is a 501(c)(4) social welfare organization. It participated in this survey to gather information about how to improve civic engagement of under-represented segments of the American population.

#### **Background and Trend Information**

The Democracy Corps Senate battleground research is one of a kind. Nobody else conducts a poll only in the most competitive Democratic and Republican seats, using the actual names of the incumbents in each district.

We include comparisons to a survey done in June, 2014 in partnership with NPR and Resurgent Republic. While the states remain the same, the July survey is the only survey to use actual names of incumbents and call voters that are likely to vote in the 2014 November election.

Respondents were selected off of a voter list who voted in the 2006 or 2010 off-year elections or new registrants with a high intention to vote in 2014.

- > 81 percent of respondents are white.
- 9 percent of these voters are under 30
- > 3 percent are Latino and 10 percent African American.

### Battleground States

	States	Incumbent	2012 Presidential margin	
	Alaska	Mark Begich	Romney +14.0	
	Arkansas	Mark Pryor	Romney +23.6	
	Colorado	Mark Udall	Obama +4.7	
	Georgia	OPEN (Chambliss)	Romney +8.0	
	lowa	OPEN (Harkin)	Obama +5.6	Average margin:
	Kentucky	Mitch McConnell	Romney +22.7	
	Louisiana	Mary Landreiu	Romney +17.2	Romney +8.5
	Michigan	OPEN (Levin)	Obama +9.5	
	Montana	John Walsh	Romney +13.5	
	New Hampshire	Jeanne Shaheen	Obama +5.8	
	North Carolina	Kay Hagan	Romney +2.2	
	West Virginia	OPEN (Rockefeller)	Romney +26.8	
West	South Arkansas Georgia Kentucky Louisiana North Carolina	Won by Obama Colorado Iowa Michigan New Hampshire	RomneyAlaskaArkansasGeorgiaKentuckyLouisianaMontanaNorth CarolinaWest Virginia	Tier 1   Colorado   Michigan   New Hampshire   North Carolina   Tier 3   Georgia   Montana   Kentucky   West Virginia   Alaska   Arkansas   Iowa   Louisiana

### **Key Findings**

- Unmarried women are, perhaps, the most important target for Democrats across this senate battleground.
- The senate race in this battleground is tied and stable, with Democrats held back by underperformance among base RAE voters and unmarried women.
- The Democratic incumbents in this battleground are much better liked than Obama and have significantly higher ratings than their Republican opponents.
- Two dynamics could shift this race: the president's approval in these states is just 37 percent, but stable. Meanwhile, the Republican Party, and particularly the Republicans in the House, are extremely unpopular. And regressions show that sentiment about House Republicans drives the SENATE vote more strongly than sentiment about Senate Republicans.
- Democrats have a message that can move the vote. A populist economic narrative, including strong messaging around the women's economic agenda, moves the vote in Democrats' favor when matched against a Republican economic narrative with big gains in the open-seat race and the state that Obama won in 2012.

### **Key Findings**

- A critique of Republicans for their positions on seniors, women's economic issues and women's health are powerful and help move the vote among younger voters and women, as well as help move the vote in some of the most competitive races in the battleground.
- And a debate about money in politics, particularly over a Constitutional Amendment to repeal Citizens United and a proposal to get big money out of our campaign system, results in further gains.
- Exposing unmarried women to the economic message shifts their support for Senate Democrats from +11 to +20.
- The economic agenda for working women and men includes a cluster of powerful policies on helping working mothers, equal pay and equal health insurance, and making sure that the wealthy and big corporations pay their fair share.
- Unmarried women are the pivotal group of the debate, as Democrats currently underperform even their 2010 margin significantly, but these voters move strongly in response to the debate.
- Voters in this Republican-leaning district are split on the electoral impact of the Republican candidate supporting the Hobby Lobby decision, but the issue provides an opening for Democrats to make a powerful critique on Republicans on the issue of women's health. The issue is very powerful with unmarried women and other key blocs of women.

# Stable mood and partisan parity in Senate battleground

# Discontent with country's direction continues over last month

Generally speaking, do you think that things in this country are going in the right direction, or do you feel things have gotten pretty seriously off on the wrong track?



# Obama Approval at 37 percent in the Battleground, stable from June



## Republican and Democratic parties at parity, even though battleground went heavily for Romney

Now, I'd like to rate your feelings toward some people and organizations, with one hundred meaning a VERY WARM, FAVORABLE feeling; zero meaning a VERY COLD, UNFAVORABLE feeling; and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.



# Democratic incumbents have split approval and are 6 points better than Obama

Do you approve or disapprove of the way (SENATE INCUMBENT) is handling his/her job as a member of the U.S. Senate?



# Democratic candidates with better ratings than their GOP challengers

Now, I'd like to rate your feelings toward some people and organizations, with one hundred meaning a VERY WARM, FAVORABLE feeling; zero meaning a VERY COLD, UNFAVORABLE feeling; and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

□ Very cool (0-25)

Very warm (75-100)



## Senate battleground split on repeal, though opponents much more intense

Now I'm going to read you some pairs of statements. After I read each pair, please tell me whether the FIRST statement or the SECOND statement comes closer to your own view, even if neither is exactly right.



### Battleground is divided on Republicans who support Hobby Lobby, but pay big price with unmarried women and Millennials

Before last week, all company-provided insurance plans had to provide prescriptions for contraceptives. Last week, the Supreme Court ruled that companies, including companies that employ thousands, are allowed to exclude covering certain types of contraceptives based on the religious views of the owners.

If a candidate for Senate this year SUPPORTED this ruling, would that make you more likely to support the candidate in the election this November, less likely, or would it make no difference?



### **The Senate Election**

#### Named Senate Vote Stable and Even

Thinking about the election for Senate in November, if the election for U.S. Senate were held today, would you be voting for -- (Democratic candidate) or (Republican candidate)?\*



\*Note: Incumbent and candidate names were inserted. Georgia's Republican was "The Republican Candidate." The candidate was not named in the June survey.

### Race tied across Battleground, big difference by Tier

Thinking about the election for Senate in November, if the election for U.S. Senate were held today, would you be voting for -- (Democratic candidate) or (Republican candidate)?



## Democratic incumbents even in race, despite Republican tilt of their states

Thinking about the election for Senate in November, if the election for U.S. Senate were held today, would you be voting for -- (Democratic candidate) or (Republican candidate)?



# Democrats underperforming among RAE, particularly unmarried women

Thinking about the election for Senate in November, if the election for U.S. Senate were held today, would you be voting for -- (Democratic candidate) or (Republican candidate)?



### An Economic Agenda for hard working women and men

### "In your shoes" narrative as strong as Republican narrative in these Republican-leaning states

Now I am going to read you some things a Democrat/Republican is saying about the economy and what needs to be done to make things better. Please tell me whether you find it a very convincing, somewhat convincing, a little convincing, or a not at all convincing statement about the economy and how to make it better.

People are drowning because jobs don't pay enough to live on. We need leaders who can live a day of our lives and make change for us, not for the richest who show up with the big money. We must help people here with affordable college, job training, and childcare. We must raise the minimum wage and make sure women get equal pay so working women and families can keep up with the cost of living. We need an economy here that works for working people and the middle class again, not just those with the big money.



Very convincing

President Obama has failed on the economy. The middle class is struggling with declining paychecks, high unemployment, and the rising costs of healthcare, college, and even a tank of gas. Bigger government, higher spending, and never ending deficits are not the answer. We need to repeal Obamacare, cut regulations, and lower taxes. We need to build the Keystone Pipeline and use our energy to create jobs and lower gas prices. We can bring back opportunity, balance the budget, and spark an economic recovery if we get big government out of the way.





### All economic agenda policies popular; working mothers and fair shares of taxes have biggest impact on vote

Now I am going to read you some things being proposed by **Democrats** as part of their plan to deal with the economy. After each item, please tell me whether you would be more or less likely to support a candidate who proposed that policy.

MUCH MORE	Total	Unmarried women
<b>Raise taxes on those with the highest incomes</b> and close corporate tax loopholes and special interest subsidies so they pay their fair share in taxes.	47 71	52 75
Finally recognize that working mothers need help by <b>protecting</b> <b>pregnant workers and new mothers</b> from being fired or demoted, making sure they have paid sick days and access to affordable childcare	41 72	52 76
Make sure women get <b>equal pay for equal work and fix and</b> <b>keep the health care law</b> so insurance companies never call the shots again, can't charge women more than men or hike your premiums for a pre-existing condition	44 71	54 76
Raise the minimum wage to ten dollars an hour and expand access to scholarships and affordable college so working women and men can continue their education and train for better jobs with higher wages.	35 60	45 72

# Working mothers, minimum wage schooling biggest drivers in regressions



### GOP policy on loosening regulation resonates the most

Now I am going to read you some things being proposed by **Republicans** as part of their plan to deal with the economy. After each item, please tell me whether you would be more or less likely to support a candidate who proposed that policy.



24

## Strong attacks on Republicans. GOP most vulnerable on seniors but women's economic and women's health critiques also potent

Now I'm going to read you some things that Republicans in Washington and in your state are trying to do. These could decide whether you vote in November. Please tell me whether it raises very serious doubts, serious doubts, minor doubts, or no real doubts in your own mind about the Republicans when you are thinking of voting in November.

VERY SERIOUS	Tota	l	Unmari	ried women
(The Republican Candidate) supports a plan that would raise the Medicare eligibility age and drastically cut Medicare's guaranteed benefit, <b>forcing the average senior to spend 6,400 dollars more every year.</b> (He/She) also wants to privatize Social Security and slash benefits. (He/She) would use that money to give even more tax breaks to millionaires, big corporations and oil companies.	40	68	50	74
(The Republican Candidate) supports a plan that would <b>raise taxes on middle class and working</b> <b>families by up to two thousand dollars a year</b> while giving millionaires an average tax break of two hundred thousand dollars and giving more tax breaks to big corporations and oil companies.	36	68	41	70
(The Republican Candidate) is <b>too focused on women's private lives instead of jobs and the</b>				
<b>economy.</b> (He/She) supports the recent Supreme Court ruling allowing big corporations to deny their female employees health insurance coverage for contraception, even when the employee's health is in danger. (The Republican Candidate) also supports a plan that would cut women's access to preventative healthcare services like mammograms, cancer screenings and prenatal care.	35	59	42	68
More and more women are breadwinners for their families, but they are making and keeping less than they should. But even though women make just 77 cents for every dollar men make, (The Republican Candidate) <b>is opposed to requiring equal pay for women who do the same</b> <b>job as a man.</b> And (The Republican Candidate) supports a plan that would allow insurance companies to charge women higher premiums than men and force women to pay for preventive care like mammograms.	34	63	47	74

25

#### Women's policy proposals are the strongest



# Attacks on Republicans on women's health and economy are convincing reasons for unmarried women to vote

Now I'm going to read you some things that Republicans in Washington and in your state are trying to do. These could decide whether you vote in November. Please tell me whether it raises very serious doubts, serious doubts, minor doubts, or no real doubts in your own mind about the Republicans when you are thinking of voting in November.

(WOMENS HEALTH) (Republican candidate) is too focused on women's private lives instead of jobs and the economy. (He/she) supports the recent Supreme Court ruling allowing big corporations to deny their female employees health insurance coverage for contraception, even when the employee's health is in danger. (Republican candidate) also supports a plan that would cut women's access to preventative. healthcare services like mammograms, cancer screenings and prenatal care.





(WOMEN'S ECONOMY) More and more women are breadwinners for their families, but they are making and keeping less than they should. But even though women make just 77 cents for every dollar men make, (Republican candidate) is opposed to requiring equal pay for women who do the same job as a man. And (Republican candidate) supports a plan that would allow insurance companies to charge women higher premiums than men and force women to pay for preventive care like mammograms.





#### Republican attacks on Democrats resonate less

Now I'm going to read you some things that Democrats in Washington and in your state are trying to do. These could decide whether you vote in November. Please tell me whether it raises very serious doubts, serious doubts, minor doubts, or no real doubts in your own mind about the Democrats when you are thinking of voting in November.



#### 28

### Policies and messages move the vote

#### Economic debate results in small gains for Democrats



### Big gains with unmarried women



## Competitive open seats and Obama-friendly districts respond best to economic message



## 8 percent move toward voting for Democrats, and higher numbers of target groups move, particularly Millennials



#### GREENBERG QUINLAN ROSNER RESEARCH

WORLD HEADQUARTERS	CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS	EUROPEAN HEADQUARTERS	LATIN AMERICAN HEADQUARTERS
10 G Street, NE	41 East 11 Street,	405 Carrington House	Cabrera 6060, 7D
Suite 500	11 <sup>th</sup> Floor	6 Hertford Street	C1414 BHN
Washington, DC 20002	New York, NY 10003	London, UK W1J 7SU	Ciudad de Buenos Aires, Argentina
T: 202.478.8300	T: 212.231.0050	T: +44.(0).207.096.5070	T: +54.11.4772.0813
F: 202.478.8301		F: +44.(0).207.096.5068	

www.greenbergresearch.com | www.gqrr.com